

Periodical solutions of Poisson-gradient dynamical systems with periodical potential

Constantin Udrişte, Iulian Duca

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is the study of the action that produces Poisson-gradient systems and their multiple periodical solutions. The Section 1 establishes the basic tools.

The section 2 underlines conditions in which the action $\varphi(u) = \int_{T_0} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 + F(t, u(t)) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$, that produces the Poisson-gradient systems, is continuous, and some conditions in which the general action $\varphi(u) = \int_{T_0} L\left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)\right) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$ is continuously differentiable.

The Section 3 studies the multiple periodical solutions of a Poisson-gradient system in the case when the potential function F has a spatial periodicity.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J50, 35J55.

Key words: variational methods, elliptic systems, multi-periodic solutions.

1 Introduction

In this paper we will note by $W_T^{1,2}$ the Sobolev spaces of the $u \in L^2[T_0, R^n]$ functions, which have the weak derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2[T_0, R^n]$, $T_0 = [0, T^1] \times \dots \times [0, T^p] \subset R^p$. The weak derivatives are defined using the space C_T^∞ of all

indefinitely differentiable multiple T -periodic function from R^p into R^n . We consider H_T^1 the Hilbert space of the $W_T^{1,2}$. The geometry on H_T^1 is realized by the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{T_0} \left(\delta_{ij} u^i(t) v^j(t) + \delta_{ij} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t^\alpha}(t) \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial t^\beta}(t) \right) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p,$$

and the associated Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$. These are induced by the scalar product (Riemannian metric)

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

on R^{n+np} (see the jet space $J^1(T_0, R^n)$).

Let $t = (t^1, \dots, t^p)$ be a generic point in R^p . Then the opposite faces of the parallelepiped T_0 can be described by the equations

$$S_i^- : t^i = 0, S_i^+ : t^i = T^i$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, p$. We denote

$$\|u\|_{L^2} = \int_{T_0} \delta_{ij} u^i(t) v^j(t) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p,$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} = \int_{T_0} \delta_{ij} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t^\alpha}(t) \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial t^\beta}(t) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p,$$

$$(u, v) = \delta_{ij} u^i v^j, |u| = \sqrt{\delta_{ij} u^i u^j}.$$

We study the extremals of the action

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{T_0} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 + F(t, u(t)) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$$

on H_T^1 in the case when the potential F function has spatial periodicity. So, we consider that there exist $P_1, \dots, P_n \in R$ so that $F(t, x + P_i e_i) = F(t, x)$, for any $t \in T_0$, $x \in R^n$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The vectors $e_1, \dots, e_n$ create a canonical base in the Euclidian space R^n . The extremals of the action φ are being determined with the minimizing sequences method. For the existence

of the minimizing bounded sequence we need to introduce the spatial periodicity condition of the potential function F . The function that realizes the minimum of the action φ verifies the Euler-Lagrange equations, which in the case of the Lagrangian

$$L\left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 + F(t, u(t))$$

defined on H_T^1 , reduces to a Poisson-gradient PDE_S , $\Delta u(t) = \nabla F(t, u(t))$,

$$u|_{S_i^-} = u|_{S_i^+}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^-} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^+}, i = 1, \dots, p.$$

2 The action that produces Poisson-gradient systems

2.1 Multi-time Euler-Lagrange equations

We consider the multi-time variable $t = (t^1, \dots, t^p) \in R^p$, the functions $x^i : R^p \rightarrow R, (t^1, \dots, t^p) \rightarrow x^i(t^1, \dots, t^p)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and we denote $x_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t^\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$. The Lagrange function

$$L : R^{p+n+np} \rightarrow R, (t^\alpha, x^i, x_\alpha^i) \rightarrow L(t^\alpha, x^i, x_\alpha^i)$$

gives the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_\alpha^i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, p$$

(second order $PDEs$ system on the n -dimensional space).

The multi-time Lagrangian and Hamiltonian dynamics is based on the concept of multisymplecticity (polysymplecticity) [3], [5]-[12]. Our task is to develop some ideas from [1]-[2], [12]-[14] having in mind the single-time theory in [4].

2.2 Continuous action

We consider the Lagrange function $L : T_0 \times R^n \times R^{np} \rightarrow R, (t^\alpha, u^i, u_\alpha^i) \rightarrow L(t^\alpha, u^i, u_\alpha^i), u_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t^\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, p, i = 1, \dots, n, u^i : T_0 \rightarrow R, (t^1, \dots, t^p) \rightarrow u^i(t^1, \dots, t^p),$

$$L(t^\alpha, u^i, u_\alpha^i) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 + F(t, u(t)).$$

In the following result we will establish the conditions in which the action

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{T_0} L\left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)\right) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$$

is continuous.

Theorem 1. *Let $F : T_0 \times R^n \rightarrow R, (t, u) \rightarrow F(t, u)$ be a measurable function in t for any $u \in R^n$ and continuously differentiable in u for any $t \in T_0, T_0 = [0, T^1] \times \dots \times [0, T^p] \subset R^p$. If exists $M \geq 0$ and $g \in C(T_0, R)$ such that $|\nabla_u F(t, u)| \leq M|u| + g(t)$ for any $t \in T_0$ and any $u \in R$, then $\varphi(u) = \int_{T_0} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 + F(t, u(t)) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$ is continuous in H_T^1 .*

Proof. We consider the $(u_k)_{k \in N}$ sequence which is convergent in H_T^1 and we will note by u his limit. This leads us to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k - u\|^2 &= \int_{T_0} \left[|u_k(t) - u(t)|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t}(t) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right|^2 \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \\ &= \|u_k - u\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

when $k \rightarrow \infty$. The convergence of u_k to u in H_T^1 is equivalent to the convergence of u_k to u and to the convergence of $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t}$ to $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ in L^2 . By consequence

$\|u_k\|_{L^2}$ and $\left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2}$ are bounded in R . In order to show the continuity of φ , we will prove that $|\varphi(u_k) - \varphi(u)| \rightarrow 0$ when $u_k \rightarrow u$ in H_T^1 . In the evaluations that we will do for $|\varphi(u_k) - \varphi(u)|$ we will utilize the following

inequality $|\|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2| \leq \|u\| \|u - v\| + \|v\| \|u - v\|$ which is true in any vectorial space with an scalar product. So, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(u_k) - \varphi(u)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{T_0} \left| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p - \int_{T_0} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right| \\
&+ \int_{T_0} |F(t, u_k(t)) - F(t, u(t))| dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p = \frac{1}{2} \left| \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2}^2 - \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right| \\
&+ \int_{T_0} \left| \int_0^1 (\nabla_u F(t, u_k(t) + s(u(t) - u_k(t))), u(t) - u_k(t)) ds \right| dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \right] \\
&+ \int_{T_0} \left(\int_0^1 |\nabla_u F(t, u_k(t) + s(u(t) - u_k(t)))| |u(t) - u_k(t)| ds \right) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \right] \\
&+ \int_{T_0} \left(\int_0^1 (M |u_k(t) + s(u(t) - u_k(t))| + g(t)) |u(t) - u_k(t)| ds \right) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \right] \\
&+ \int_{T_0} \left(\int_0^1 (M |su(t) + (1-s)(u_k(t) - u(t))| + g(t)) |u(t) - u_k(t)| ds \right) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Because the sequence $\left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2}$ is bounded, it exists C_1 such that $\left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \leq C_1$ for any $k \in N$. In the following, we will note by $C_2 = \max_{t \in T_0} g(t)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(u_k) - \varphi(u)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} C_1 \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&+ M \|u\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{T_0} |u(t) - u_k(t)|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +M \int_{T_0} |u_k(t) - u(t)|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p + C_2 \int_{T_0} |u(t) - u_k(t)| dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(C_1 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \right) \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \\
& + \left(M \|u\|_{L^2} + C_2 (T^1 \dots T^p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(\int_{T_0} |u(t) - u_k(t)|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +M \int_{T_0} |u_k(t) - u(t)|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p = \frac{1}{2} \left(C_1 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \right) \left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \\
& + \left(M \|u\|_{L^2} + C_2 (T^1 \dots T^p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|u - u_k\|_{L^2} + M \|u_k - u\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|u_k - u\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$, when $k \rightarrow \infty$ it results that $\varphi(u_k) \rightarrow \varphi(u)$; from here we obtain the continuity of φ in H_T^1 .

2.3 Continuously differentiable action

In order to obtain a more general result then the one found in the previous theorem, we define the action

$$\varphi : W_T^{1,2} \rightarrow R, \varphi(u) = \int_{T_0} L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p,$$

$$T_0 = [0, T^1] \times \dots \times [0, T^p] \subset R^p.$$

Concerning this action we have the following Theorem which extends the particular case $p = 1$ from [4].

Theorem 2. *We consider $L : T_0 \times R^n \times R^{np} \rightarrow R, (t, x, y) \rightarrow L(t, x, y)$, a measurable function in t for any $(x, y) \in R^n \times R^{np}$ and with the continuous partial derivatives in x and y for any $t \in T_0$. If here exist $a \in C^1(R^+, R^+)$*

with the derivative a' bounded from above, $b \in C(T_0, R^n)$ such that for any $t \in T_0$ and any $(x, y) \in R^n \times R^{np}$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} |L(t, x, y)| &\leq a(|x| + |y|^2) b(t), \\ |\nabla_x L(t, x, y)| &\leq a(|x|) b(t), \\ |\nabla_y L(t, x, y)| &\leq a(|y|) b(t), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

then, the functional φ has continuous partial derivatives in $W_T^{1,2}$ and his gradient derives from the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \varphi(u), v) &= \int_{T_0} \left[\left(\nabla_x L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right), v(t) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\nabla_y L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that φ has the derivative $\varphi'(u) \in (W_T^{1,2})^*$ given by the relation (2) and the function $\varphi' : W_T^{1,2} \rightarrow (W_T^{1,2})^*$, $u \rightarrow \varphi'(u)$ is continuous. We consider $u, v \in W_T^{1,2}$, $t \in T_0$, $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$. We build the functions

$$F(\lambda, t) = L \left(t, u(t) + \lambda v(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) + \lambda \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right)$$

and

$$\Psi(\lambda) = \int_{T_0} F(\lambda, t) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p.$$

Because the derivative a' is bounded from above, exist $M > 0$ such that $\frac{a(|u|) - a(0)}{|u|} = a'(c) \leq M$. This means that $a(|u|) \leq M|u| + a(0)$. On the other side

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, t) &= \left(\nabla_x L \left(t, u(t) + \lambda v(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) + \lambda \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right), v(t) \right) \\ &+ \left(\nabla_y L \left(t, u(t) + \lambda v(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) + \lambda \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right) \leq a(|u(t) + \lambda v(t)|) \\ &\quad b(t) |v(t)| + a \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) + \lambda \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right| \right) b(t) \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq b_0 (M (|u(t)| + |v(t)|) + a(0)) |v(t)| \\ &+ b_0 \left(M \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right| \right) + a(0) \right) \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_0 = \max_{t \in T_0} b(t).$$

Then, we have $\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, t) \right| \leq d(t) \in L^1(T_0, R^+)$. Then Leibniz formula of differentiation under integral sign is applicable and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda}(0) &= \int_{T_0} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda}(0, t) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p = \int_{T_0} \left[\left(\nabla_x L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right), v(t) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\nabla_y L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \nabla_x L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right) \right| \leq b_0 (M |u(t)| + |a(0)|) \in L^1(T_0, R^+)$$

and

$$\left| \nabla_y L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right) \right| \leq b_0 \left(M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right| + |a(0)| \right) \in L^2(T_0, R^+).$$

That is why

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{T_0} \left[\left(\nabla_x L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right), v(t) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\nabla_y L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \\ &\leq \int_{T_0} \left| \nabla_x L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right) \right| |v(t)| dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \\ &\quad + \int_{T_0} \left| \nabla_y L \left(t, u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right) \right| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right| dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq b_0 \int_{T_0} (M |u(t)| + |a(0)|) |v(t)| dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \\
&+ b_0 \int_{T_0} \left(M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right| + |a(0)| \right) \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right| dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p
\end{aligned}$$

By using the inequality Cauchy-Schwartz we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda}(0) \right| &\leq b_0 \left(\int_{T_0} (M |u(t)| + |a(0)|)^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\cdot \left(\int_{T_0} |v(t)|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ b_0 \left(\int_{T_0} \left(M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right| + |a(0)| \right)^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{T_0} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C_1 \left(\int_{T_0} |v(t)|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_2 \left(\int_{T_0} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \max \{C_1, C_2\} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{T_0} \left(|v(t)|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right|^2 \right) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C \|v\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By consequence, the action φ has the derivative $\varphi' \in (W_T^{1,2})^*$ given by (2). The Krasnoselski theorem and the hypothesis (1) imply the fact that the application $u \rightarrow \left(\nabla_x L \left(\cdot, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right), \nabla_y L \left(\cdot, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right)$, from $W_T^{1,2}$ to $L^1 \times L^2$, is continuous, so φ' is continuous from $W_T^{1,2}$ to $(W_T^{1,2})^*$ and the proof is complete.

Theorem 3. *If the action*

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{T_0} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 + F(t, u(t)) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$$

is continuously differentiable on H_T^1 and $u \in H_T^1$ is a solution of the equation $\varphi'(u) = 0$ (critical point), then the function u has a weak Laplacian Δu (or the Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ has a weak divergence) and

$$\Delta u = \nabla F(t, u(t))$$

a.e. on T_0 and

$$u|_{S_i^-} = u|_{S_i^+}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^-} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^+}.$$

Proof. We build the function

$$\Phi : [-1, 1] \rightarrow R,$$

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \varphi(u + \lambda v) =$$

$$\int_{T_0} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u(t) + \lambda v(t)) \right|^2 + F(t, u(t) + \lambda v(t)) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p,$$

where $v \in C_T^\infty(T_0, R^n)$. The point $\lambda = 0$ is a critical point of Φ if and only if the point u is a critical point of φ . Consequently

$$0 = \langle \varphi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{T_0} \left[\delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial t^\beta} + \delta_{ij} \nabla^i F(t, u(t)) v^j(t) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p,$$

for all $v \in H_T^1$ and hence for all $v \in C_T^\infty$. The definition of the weak divergence,

$$\int_{T_0} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial t^\beta} dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p = - \int_{T_0} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} v^j dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p,$$

shows that the Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ has weak divergence (the function u has a weak Laplacian) and

$$\Delta u(t) = \nabla F(t, u(t))$$

a.e. on T_0 . Also, the existence of weak derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ and weak divergence Δu implies that

$$u|_{S_i^-} = u|_{S_i^+}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^-} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^+}.$$

3 Poisson-gradient dynamical systems with periodical potential

Theorem 4. *If $F : T_0 \times R^n \rightarrow R$, $(t, x) \rightarrow F(t, x)$ functions have the properties:*

1) $F(t, x)$ is measurable in t for any $x \in R^n$ and continuously differentiable in x for any $t \in T_0$, and there exist the functions $a \in C^1(R^+, R^+)$ with the derivative a' bounded from above and $b \in C(T_0, R^+)$ such that for any $t \in T_0$ and any $u \in R^n$ to have $|F(t, u)| \leq a(|u|)b(t)$ and $|\nabla_u F(t, u)| \leq a(|u|)b(t)$,

2) $F(t, x) > 0$, for any $t \in T_0$ and any $x \in R^n$,

3) For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ it exists $P_i \in R$ such that $F(t, x + P_i e_i) = F(t, x)$, for any $t \in T_0$ and any $x \in R^n$,

4) The action $\varphi_1(u) = \int_{T_0} F(t, u(t)) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on H_T^1 .

If $\int_{T_0} F(t, u) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \rightarrow \infty$ when $|u| \rightarrow \infty$, then, the problem $\Delta u(t) = \nabla F(t, u(t))$ with the boundary condition

$$u|_{S_i^-} = u|_{S_i^+}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^-} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^+}$$

has at least solution which minimizes the action

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{T_0} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right|^2 + F(t, u(t)) \right] dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$$

in H_T^1 .

Proof. From Theorem 2, the action φ is continuously differentiable. From the periodicity and the continuity of F , it results that exists the function $d \in L^1(T_0, R)$ such that $F(t, x) \geq d(t) \geq 0$, for any $t \in T_0$ and any $x \in R^n$. By consequence $\int_{T_0} F(t, u(t)) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p = C_1 \geq 0$. It results the inequality

$$\varphi(u) \geq \int_{T_0} \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p - C_1 \text{ for any } u \in H_T^1. \text{ As result } \inf_{u \in H_T^1} \varphi(u) <$$

∞ . Because $\varphi(u) + C_1 \geq \int_{T_0} \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$, for any $u \in H_T^1$, we

have the same inequality and for any $u = u_k$ where (u_k) it is a minimizing sequence for φ in H_T^1 . So, we obtain

$$\int_{T_0} \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} \right|^2 dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p \leq C_2, \quad \text{for any } k \in N. \quad (3)$$

We consider $u_k = \bar{u}_k + \tilde{u}_k$, where $\bar{u}_k = \frac{1}{T^1 \dots T^p} \int_{T_0} u_k(t) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$. From the relation (3) and the Wirtinger inequality we have

$$\|\tilde{u}_k\| \leq C_3, \quad k \in N, \quad C_3 \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

On the other side, from the periodicity of F we find that $\varphi(u + P_i e_i) = \varphi(u)$, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and any $u \in H_T^1$. If the sequence (u_k) is a minimizing one for the action φ , then the sequence (u_k^*) ,

$$u_k^* = (\bar{u}_k^1 + \tilde{u}_k^1 + k_1 P_1, \dots, \bar{u}_k^n + \tilde{u}_k^n + k_n P_n)$$

is also a minimizing sequence, for any $k_1, \dots, k_n \in Z$. Obviously, we may choose $k_i \in Z, i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$0 \leq \bar{u}_k^i + k_i P_i \leq P_i, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From the relations (3) and (4) it results that the sequence (u_k^*) is bounded, so the action φ has a minimizing bounded sequence. By eventually passing to a subsequence, we may consider that the (u_k^*) sequence is weakly convergent with the limit u .

The Hilbert space H_T^1 is reflexive. By consequence, the sequence (u_k^*) (or one of his subsequence) is weakly convergent in H_T^1 with the limit u . Because

$$\varphi_2(u) = \int_{T_0} \delta_{ij} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t^\alpha}(t) \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial t^\beta}(t) dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^p$$

is convex it results that φ_2 is weakly lower semi-continuous, so

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(u)$$

is weakly lower semi-continuous and $\varphi(u) \leq \liminf \varphi(u_k)$. This means that u is minimum point of φ . From the Theorem 3 this means that u is solution of boundary value problem

$$\Delta u(t) = \nabla F(t, u(t)),$$

$$u|_{S_i^-} = u|_{S_i^+}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^-} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{S_i^+}.$$

References

- [1] I. Duca, A-M. Teleman, C. Udriște: *Poisson-Gradient Dynamical Systems with Convex Potential*, Proceedings of the 3-rd International Colloquium " Mathematics in Engineering and Numerical Physics ", 7-9 October, 2004, Bucharest.
- [2] Iulian Duca, Constantin Udriște: *Some Inequalities satisfied by Periodical Solutions of Multi-Time Hamilton Equations*, The 5-th Conference of Balkan Society of Geometers, August 29-Sept 2, 2005, Mangalia, Romania.
- [3] M. Forger, C. Paufler, H. Romer, *The Poisson bracket for Poisson forms in multisymplectic field theory*, Reviews in Mathematical Physics, 15, 7 (2003), 705-743.
- [4] J. Mawhin, M. Willem: *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [5] I. V. Kanatchikov: *Geometric (pre)quantization in the polysymplectic approach to field theory*, arXiv: hep-th/0112263 v3, 3 Jun 2002, 1-12; Differential Geometry and Its Applications, Proc. Conf., Opava (Czech Republic), August 27-31, 2001, Silesian University Opava, 2002.
- [6] C. Paufler, H. Romer: *De Donder-Weyl equations and multisymplectic geometry*, arXiv: math-ph/0506022 v2, 20 Jun 2005.
- [7] N. Roman-Roy, *Multisymplectic Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism of first -order classical field theories*, arXiv: math-ph/0107019 v1, 20 Jul 2001, vol XX (XXXX), No. X, 1-9.
- [8] C.Udriște: *Nonclassical Lagrangian Dynamics and Potential Maps*, Conference in Mathematics in Honour of Professor Radu Roșca on the Occasion of his Ninetieth Birthday, Katholieke University Brussel, Katholieke University Leuven, Belgium, Dec.11-16, 1999;
<http://xxx.lanl.gov/math.DS/0007060>.
- [9] C.Udriște: *Solutions of DEs and PDEs as Potential Maps Using First Order Lagrangians*, Centenial Vranceanu, Romanian Academy, University of Bucharest, June 30-July 4, (2000); <http://xxx.lanl.gov/math.DS/0007061>; Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications 6, 1, 93-108, 2001.

- [10] C. Udriște, M. Postolache: *Atlas of Magnetic Geometric Dynamics*, Geometry Balkan Press, Bucharest, 2001.
- [11] C. Udriște: *From integral manifolds and metrics to potential maps*, Atti del Academia Peloritana dei Pericolanti, Classe 1 di Science Fis. Mat. e Nat., 81-82, A 01006 (2003-2004), 1-14.
- [12] C. Udriște, A-M. Teleman: *Hamilton Approaches of Fields Theory*, IJMMS, 57 (2004), 3045-3056; ICM Satelite Conference in Algebra and Related Topics, University of Hong-Kong, 13-18.08.02.
- [13] C. Udriște, I. Duca: *Periodical Solutions of Multi-Time Hamilton Equations*, Analele Universității București, 55, 1 (2005), 179-188.
- [14] C. Udriște, I. Duca: *Poisson-gradient Dynamical Systems with Bounded Non-linearity*, manuscript, 2005.

University Politehnica of Bucharest
 Department of Mathematics
 Splaiul Independentei 313
 060042 Bucharest, Romania
 email: udriste@mathem.pub.ro